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Using multi-functional oxide films, we report on the development of an integration strategy for scalable manufacturing of graphene-based transparent conducting electrodes (TCEs) for organic electronics. A number of fundamental and process challenges exists for efficient graphene-based TCEs, in particular, environmentally and thermally stable doping, interfacial band engineering for efficient charge injection/extraction, effective wetting, and process compatibility including masking and patterning. Here, we show that all of these challenges can be effectively addressed at once by coating graphene with a thin (>10 nm) metal oxide (MoO3 or WO3) layer. We demonstrate graphene electrode patterning without the need for conventional lithography and thereby achieve organic light emitting diodes with efficiencies exceeding those of standard indium tin oxide reference devices. © 2015 AIP Publishing LLC. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4908292]

Scalable growth, transfer, and front/back-end integration strategies are imperative for the development of commercialization avenues for graphene based technologies.1,2 The use of graphene as a potential replacement of brittle and increasingly expensive indium tin oxide (ITO) as a transparent conducting electrode (TCE) in organic electronics and optoelectronic applications has attracted a lot of research interest,3–6 but effective integration into working devices remains challenging.1,2 While graphene’s high optical transparency (~2.3% absorption in the visible range) and charge carrier mobility >15 000 cm2 V−1 s−1 are intrinsic material advantages,7 its high flexibility (strain of up to 20%) can be exploited as a key functional competitive advantage over ITO for future bendable or elastic optoelectronic applications.8 Organic light emitting diodes (OLEDs) are among several key applications that would benefit significantly from such graphene based TCEs.2,8–11 While chemical vapor deposition (CVD) has emerged as the preferred technique for large area graphene synthesis12–15 and roll-to-roll integrated graphene transfer over large areas has been demonstrated,16,17 a number of fundamental and processing challenges remain for efficient graphene-based TCEs: (1) environmentally and thermally stable doping,18,19 (2) interfacial band engineering for efficient charge injection/extraction,18,19 (3) effective wetting,9,20,28 and (4) process compatibility including masking and patterning. Recently, we have shown that metal oxides (WO3, V2O5, and MoO3) can effectively p-dope graphene.9,18,19 The doping is not only environmentally stable but also withstands elevated temperatures beyond 100°C, which is a clear advantage compared to conventional doping approaches, e.g., nitride acids.17,21,22 In addition, the metal oxides allow for an efficient charge injection from graphene into the OLED due to a favorable energy matching of the transport levels.9,18,19 However, industrial applications also require integrated process solutions [(3) and (4)]. Lithography is commonly used to pattern graphene, which, however, is relatively expensive and cannot be directly integrated in large scale roll-to-roll manufacturing processes.23–26 Further, lithography typically involves the use of solvents and chemicals that might affect or degrade the properties of doped graphene.8–11,27–31

Here, we show that all of these challenges for efficient graphene-based TCEs can be effectively addressed at once via a thin (>10 nm) metal oxide (MoO3 or WO3) coating of the graphene. The metal oxide can be deposited by various means9,18,19 but we focus here on thermal evaporation. The oxide coating provides effective graphene doping, ideal alignment of the transport levels at the graphene interface, effective wetting, and graphene protection during oxygen plasma etching and patterning. Raman analysis confirms the protective nature of these thin (>10 nm) metal oxide (MoO3 or WO3) coatings on graphene. This multi-functionality opens up effective integrated graphene manufacturing routes. We demonstrate graphene electrode patterning without the need for conventional lithography and thereby achieve OLEDs with efficiencies exceeding those of standard ITO reference devices.

Figure 1(a) schematically highlights the concept of the entire process. Graphene synthesis was performed on commercially available Cu foils12,13,15 using a low pressure chemical vapor deposition process32 and subsequently transferred to glass or SiO2 (300 nm)/Si wafer substrates using PMMA as a carrier layer.24 Post transfer the samples were annealed in 4 mbar H2 at ~300–350 °C for 60 min to remove polymer residue.18,19 This is followed by thermal deposition of WO3 through a shadowmask which induces p-doping of graphene due to charge transfer.18,19 An O2 plasma step is then used to etch the regions that are not covered by WO3,
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while the covered graphene areas are protected against etching leading to the desired pattern formation (Figure 1(b)). An OLED stack with a perpendicular patterned top electrode is evaporated on top of the WO₃ covered graphene stripes. The intersections of the patterned graphene and top electrode define the active area of the OLED. Figure 1(c) shows the corresponding operating OLED with a clearly defined active area. Note that the shadow mask technology allows for processing of high resolution OLED displays (<<5 μm pixel size).

Our process is generally applicable to mono- and few-layer material, but we focus here on monolayer graphene, which is most sensitive to potential damage and other deleterious effects.

We first use electrical measurements (Four-Point-Probe with a probe distance of 1 mm) to quantify the damage to graphene during an O₂ plasma treatment at 100 W. Figure 2(a) shows the change in sheet resistance of monolayer graphene transferred to a Si/SiO₂ (300 nm) wafer as a function of the O₂ plasma exposure time. While a short exposure of ~10 s already leads to an order of magnitude increase in sheet resistance, a 60 s plasma completely destroys the graphene layer. Figures 2(b) and 3 show what happens when the graphene is covered by a thin oxide film. The metal oxide deposition leads to an abrupt and substantial lowering of sheet resistance of the graphene layer (Figure 2(b)). This can be explained by a charge transfer process of electrons from graphene to WO₃. Recently, we have shown by photoemission spectroscopy studies that a large dipole exists at the interface between graphene and the metal oxide as a result of a large work function (WF) difference. When both materials are brought in contact, Fermi level alignment across the interface takes place compensating the WF difference of graphene (WF ≈ 4.4 eV) and WO₃ (WF ≈ 6.7 eV), as schematically illustrated in the inset of Fig. 2(b). This charge transfer process induces p-doping of graphene as a result of Fermi level alignment across the interface. Therefore, the sheet resistance decreases rapidly with increasing oxide thickness to a value of <300 Ω/sq, until a closed oxide coverage of 30–50 Å is formed (see also AFM data in Figure 3(c) and prior TEM images reported elsewhere). We note that our graphene samples are already p-doped due to ambient
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air-exposure and PMMA residues prior to the WO3 deposition. For comparison, an intrinsic monolayer has a sheet resistance in the order of 6 kΩ/sq. Figure 3 highlights the protective effect of this oxide coating during O2 plasma exposure. We use Raman spectroscopy to characterize the change in quality of the oxide covered graphene by monitoring the change in the ratio of the intensity of the D peak (1350 cm⁻¹) and the G peak (1600 cm⁻¹) (ID/IG ratio). In addition, we observe that graphene is removed upon O2 plasma exposure most likely due to oxidation from the O2 plasma. The changes in the Raman signal can be used to determine the extent of damage induced to the graphene, and we focus here on the protective effect of the oxide coating.

Figure 3(a) shows representative Raman spectra for graphene after transfer, annealing, and after O2 plasma exposure of graphene covered with 2.5, 5, 10, and 25 nm of WO3 and MoO3 (see supplementary material, Fig. S1), respectively, and Figure 3(b) shows the corresponding relative changes in the ID/IG ratio after 60 s O2 plasma treatment as a function of the thermally evaporated metal oxide thickness. The Raman spectrum for graphene after transfer shows a characteristic 2D (≈2700 cm⁻¹), G (≈1600 cm⁻¹), and D (≈1350 cm⁻¹) peak and an ID/IG ratio of 0.05–10 confirming the high quality of the starting material.12,13 Annealing in H2 is typically performed to remove the polymer residue post transfer, which could otherwise short the OLED stack. However, the annealing typically introduces defects and can be seen in the form of an increase in the D peak with an ID/IG ratio of 0.15–0.18. For oxide coatings less than 10 nm in average thickness, there is a drastic deterioration in the quality of graphene as highlighted by the large relative change in the ID/IG ratio, i.e., ID/IG ~1.34 for 2.5 nm and ID/IG ~0.30 for 5 nm thick films of WO3, respectively. For WO3 thicknesses above 10 nm, the relative change in the ID/IG ratio is ~0.06–0.02, i.e., very small, and is attributed to the diffusion of etchant species from the O2 plasma through the side and pin-holes in the oxide layer. We note here that although 5 nm thick films of WO3 on graphene are continuous (see Figure 3(c) and TEM images shown elsewhere), they are unable to offer an effective protection from the O2 plasma at the given conditions to the graphene underneath. However, an increased oxide film thickness offers sufficient resistance against mass transfer for the radicals from the O2 plasma and prevents damage to the graphene. We observe a similar behavior for thermally evaporated MoO3 coatings, albeit with minor variation that probably originates from the differences in intrinsic material properties for the two different oxides. Even though MoO3 has attracted significant attention as a graphene and carbon nanotube (CNT) dopant, our choice of WO3 is based on its better stability to air exposure.9,18,19,31 Though we emphasize that our method is highly relevant for a number of other optoelectronic applications using MoO3 as a p-type dopant for graphene and CNTs. In addition, MoO3 and WO3 have been identified as ITO sputtering protection layer in OLEDs and organic solar cells, where a 40–60 nm thick metal oxide layer can effectively prevent the sensitive organic layers from the high kinetic particle bombardment.34–36

We note that thermally evaporated metal oxides, such as MoO3 and WO3, grow as sub-stoichiometric thin film, which make these materials n-type conductive due to oxygen vacancies.37 On the other hand, a very strong chemical reduction, e.g., as a result of high evaporation temperatures or adsorbates on the surface, leads to a different metal oxide composition with a high amount of MoO2 and WO2 sub-oxides.18,19,31,34 These species exhibit a low-band gap (<2.5 eV) leading to an increased absorption of the metal oxide films.18,19,31,34 An O2 plasma might partially counteract this deficiency of metal oxides. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS, Mg (Ka) radiation) source from a Mg/Al double anode) shows that our WO3 layer is mainly composed of W⁶⁺ states and sub-stoichiometric W⁵⁺ states are at the detection limited (see supplementary material, Fig. S2).33 The metal oxide composition is not affected by the plasma process. In addition, the XPS data confirm the p-doping of graphene upon WO3 deposition, as seen in the corresponding graphene Cls core level shift of around 0.2 eV towards lower binding energies. In a previous study on MoO3 doped graphene, a similar shift of 0.25 eV has been observed.18 For comparison, the Fermi level shift induced by metal oxide doping (WO3 and MoO3) is significantly larger as reported for conventional HNO3 doping of graphene (~0.12 eV).17 The XPS analysis also shows that the shift remains nearly unchanged before and after etching which again verifies that the doping of graphene is not affected by the O2 plasma process.

To demonstrate the efficiency of as-prepared graphene TCEs with reference to ITO electrodes, we fabricated OLED stacks, as schematically outlined in Figure 4(a). We thereby compare two samples of graphene with a 5 nm and 15 nm WO3 coating, respectively. The thicknesses were chosen...
such that one sample is somewhat below and the other sample slightly above the critical oxide protection thickness for O₂-plasma exposure, as identified above (see Figures 3(a) and 3(b)). Both graphene samples were O₂-plasma patterned at 100 W for 2 min, which are twice as long as required to fully etch unprotected graphene (see Figure 2(a)). In addition, the samples were shortly air-exposed before and after the plasma process. As reference electrode system, we use an ITO bottom electrode with a 15 nm WO₃ coating. For this ITO reference electrode no plasma treatment was applied and it was processed without breaking vacuum. All organic and inorganic layers were prepared by thermal evaporation in a custom-build deposition system at a base pressure of 10⁻⁶ mbar. The OLED stack comprises a 5 nm or 15 nm WO₃ layer, a 130 nm WO₃ doped 4,4'-Bis(N-carbazolyl)-1,1'-biphenyl (CBP) hole transport layer (20 wt. %), a 5 nm CBP hole transport layer, a 15 nm CBP doped with bis(2-phenylpyridine)(acetylacetonate)iridium(III) [Ir(ppy)₂(acac)] (10 wt. %) emission layer, a 60 nm 1,3,5-tris-phenyl-2-benzimidazolyl-benzene (TPBi) electron transport layer, a 1 nm 8-hydroxy-quinolino nato lithium (Liq) electron injection layer, and a 100 nm Al top electrode.

Figure 4 summarizes the corresponding OLED device characteristics. The ITO-based control device shows the expected high efficiency with a steep rise of luminance with increasing voltage starting at 2.7 V and reaching 1000 cd/m² at around 4 V. At this point, a high power efficiency of 60 lm/W (without out-coupling structure) is obtained. In comparison, the graphene sample with 5 nm WO₃ coating shows a significantly higher operating voltage and therefore a reduced power efficiency of around 50 lm/W at 1000 cd/m². The voltage increase can be clearly attributed to a higher sheet resistance of the graphene caused by plasma damage, as we found 5 nm WO₃ not sufficient to provide an appropriate plasma protection (see Figure 3(a)). On the other hand, for the graphene electrode with 15 nm WO₃, the OLED device performance is nearly the same and up to 1000 cd/m² even slightly higher compared to the ITO-based control device. The somewhat higher operating voltage at very high luminance values (>5000 cd/m²) of the 15 nm WO₃ graphene-based OLED is not attributed to damage, but to the limited sheet resistance of monolayer graphene compared to ITO, as we already reported elsewhere. To further reduce the sheet resistance 3–4 layers of highly doped graphene are needed. Nevertheless, we like to emphasize here that our method allows for the realization of efficient graphene-based OLEDs and despite air-exposure prior and after etching the device exhibits comparable performance to ITO-based devices.

Our data establishes a scalable integration route for graphene-based TCEs. We show that a thin oxide coating can fulfill a multifunctional role, providing effective graphene doping, ideal alignment of the transport levels at the graphene interface, effective wetting, and graphene protection during oxygen plasma etching and patterning. We demonstrate OLED devices based on patterned graphene-based TCEs without the use of lithography that have efficiencies comparable and possibly exceeding those of vacuum processed, ITO-electrode-based devices. Our approach is compatible with current industrial manufacturing processes and equally applicable to solution derived/exfoliated graphene and CNT electrodes as well as to a range of commonly used oxide films such as MoO₃. We think that such effective integration of nanomaterials is key to their emergence and integration in device technology.
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